

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,874	03/31/2004	David W. Balsdon	2003P04841US-01	4672
75	90 08/26/2005		EXAM	INER
SIEMENS CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			MILLER, CA	RL STUART
	ENUE SOUTH	ARIMENI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ISELIN, NJ 0	8830		3747	_

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			TAU			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/812,874	BALSDON, DAVID W.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Carl S. Miller	3747			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period our to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	pril 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>3-7 and 13-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 3-7 and 13-16 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
7)[						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
		•				
Attachmen	• •	· —				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/812,874

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-7 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki ('048) in view of Satoh.

Yamazaki teaches all of the limitations of the claims except the chambers of the valves are not identically created by the diaphragm valve's structure. Satoh teaches a valve structure basically identical to the applicants' structure.

It would have been obvious to modify Yamazaki by using the valve structure of Satoh to open the vapor line because both valves operated in the same way to feed fuel vapor from the fuel tank to the canister.

Applicant's arguments filed April 23, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In particular, an argument can be made for the fact that the <u>inlet</u> of the Yamazaki device is out of fuel vapor communication with the vapor headspace and there is no question about the fact that the outlet is positioned proximate the bottom of the fuel tank. Furthermore, Satoh teaches a refueling tube which is clearly out of communication with the vapor space at its inlet and in most cases within the liquid level of the fuel. Even the applicant's tube will not be below the liquid level at very lo9w fuel levels. Thus, positioning the tube of Yamazaki out of communication with the vapor space would have been obvious in view of Satoh.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-4849. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen, can be reached at 571-272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/812,874

Art Unit: 3747

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Carl S. Milie?

Page 4

Primary Exemi.